

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

October 25, 2005

Patent Number:

6,957,209

Name of Patentee:

John M. Quernemoen

Issued: Title:

October 18, 2005

Sizing Servers for Database

Defined Workloads

Management Systems Via User

Customer No.:

27516

Our File:

RA 5244K2 (1028.1124101)

Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch

Commissioner for Patents

P O Box 1450

Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION OF PATENT FOR PTO MISTAKE (37 C.F.R. § 1.322(a))

- Enclosed, in duplicate, is PTO/SB/44 (also Form PTO-1050), with at least one copy being suitable for printing.
- 2. In column 15, line 42 the term "saver" should read "server". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 4 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 7. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 1 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. Applicant respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- 3. In column 16, line 8 the term "on" should be replaced with the term "transaction". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 7 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 16. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 3 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- In column 16, line 59 the term "saver" should read "server". Enclosed for your ease of reference is a copy of page 7 of the Amendment filed on November 22, 2004, where the wording is shown correctly in claim 17. Due to the canceling and re-numbering of claims in the Amendment, the issued claim number is now claim 11 in U.S. Patent No. 6,957,209. Applicant respectfully requests the error be corrected.
- Please send the Certificate to:

Name:

Unisys Corporation

Charles A. Johnson

Address:

P O Box 64942

MS 4773

St. Paul, MN 55164

		for Certificate of Correction - USPTO Mistake 25, 2005	Patent #6,957,209 Page 2 of 2
		Corporation (type or print name of assignee)	Signature of person authorized to sign on behalf of assignee
	☑	Assignment recorded on February 29, 2000 Reel 010771 Frame 0143	Charles A. Johnson (type or print name of authorized person signing) Associate General Counsel, Patents & Technology Title of authorized person signing
7	Charles Attorne Unisys P O Boy St. Paul Reg. No	Recorded of assignment attached. Attached is a "STATEMENT UNDER assignee to take action in this case. Ifully submitted. A. Johnson by for Applicant Corporation (MS 4773) at 64942 l, MN 55164-0942 doi: 20,852 light (651) 635-7702	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 25, 2005. Charles A. Johnson Attorney for Applicants Signature October 25, 2005 Date of Signature
	CAJ/ea	v	

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATION OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO

6,957,209

DATED

October 18, 2005

INVENTOR(S)

John M. Quernemoen

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent hereby corrected as shown below:

In the claims:

Claim number 1, Col. 15, line 42: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Claim number 3, Col. 16, line 8: the term "on" should be replaced with --transaction--.

Claim number 11, Col. 16, line 59: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form PTO-1050)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATION OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO

6,957,209

DATED

October 18, 2005

INVENTOR(S)

John M. Quernemoen

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent hereby corrected as shown below:

In the claims:

Claim number 1, Col. 15, line 42: the term "saver" should read --server--.

Claim number 3, Col. 16, line 8: the term "on" should be replaced with --transaction--.

Claim number 11, Col. 16, line 59: the term "saver" should read --server--.



: 2

,5

6

Application No. 09/515,158 Amendment dated November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action dated August 24, 2004

. 2	hardware requirements for a yet-to-be built database management system server using user	
. 3	defined workload requirements, the method comprising the steps of:	
4	obtaining at least one user defined workload requirement from a user;	
5	determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-	
6	to-be built database management system server as a function of said user defined workload	
7	requirement; and	
8	outputting said yet-to-be built database management system server requirements, wherein	
9	said database management system server requirements include an expected number of users that	
10	can be supported by the yet-to-be built database management system server based on the user	
11	defined workload requirements.	
1	6. (Previously Presented) A method according to claim 5, wherein said	
2	database management system server requirements includes an expected effective CPU utilization	
3	of the yet-to-be built database management system server based on the user defined workload	
4	requirements.	
-		
1	7. (Currently Amended) A <u>computerized</u> method for determining computer	
2	hardware requirements for a yet-to-be built database management system server using user	
3	defined workload requirements, the method comprising the steps of:	
4	obtaining at least one user defined workload requirement;	
. 5	determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-	
6	to-be built database management system server as a function of said user defined workload 4 of 14	

Application No. 09/515,158 Amendment dated November 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action dated August 24, 2004

8	said update SQL types have parameters including a number of records to be operated on		
9	by said update statement, and wherein said update statement SQL workload contribution is a		
10	function of said statement parameters, and		
11	said select SQL types have parameters including selectivity criteria, and wherein said		
12	select statement SQL workload contribution is a function of said statement parameters.		
1	16. (Currently Amended) A <u>computerized</u> method for determining computer		
2	hardware requirements for a yet-to-be-built database management system server using a user-		
3	defined workload, the method comprising the steps of:		
4.	obtaining from a user a plurality of transaction definitions, wherein each of said		
5	transaction definitions have a transaction workload contribution and an expected execution rate		
6 .	per second;		
7 -	determining a total expected workload as a function of said transaction definitions; and		
8	determining the database management system server hardware requirements for the yet-		
9	to-be built database management system server as a function of said total expected workload.		
1 .	17. (Currently Amended) A <u>computerized</u> method according to claim 16 wherein the		
2	database management system server hardware requirements includes a processor type for the year		
3	to-be built database management system server.		
1	18. (Currently Amended) A computerized method according to claim 16 wherein the		
2	database management system server hardware requirements includes a number of processors for		

7 of 14